

Dear Senator Fienstein,
(fax 202-228-3954)

I read your comments in the paper concerning improving SUV mpg and thought the attached article might be of interest to you. I have documentation for most of the information in my article and can send a CD with the documentation, at my expense, if you want it. I have documentation proving that a production automobile, modified by a major oil company, exceeded 376 mpg.

Please don't bother sending this fax to my Virginia Members of Congress, they have had the information for many years and will do nothing.

Sincerely,

Byron S. Wine III
8227 Depot Place
Manassas, VA
20112

(byronw@purespeed.com)

Energy Information

The Washington Post, Washington Times, Richmond Times Dispatch, Potomac News, Manassas Journal Messenger and many other print, radio/TV media outlets will not inform you of this information. After you read the following information, do you wonder why they won't inform you? If you can, please help to distribute this information.

Please send suggestions and comments to: byronw@erols.com (old address)

So you think we have an energy problem? No, we have a political problem.

I want to relate to you some facts concerning various suppressed energy devices and the difficulty in informing the public of these devices. I hope that you can add some additional information. On October 1, 1990, I began to keep a list of people contacted concerning energy devices. The list is now 51 pages long. The list includes the President and vice-president; 121 Members of Congress and other politicians; 21 government and state agencies; 215 members of the print and electronic media; 62 environmental groups; The President of United Auto Workers and 14 other UAW officials; The President of The American Automobile Association; many members of the clergy, including Mr. Pat Robertson, Christian Science Monitor; and numerous other "public interest" groups. Most of the people contacted do not respond to communications.

My own Congressman, Representative Frank Wolf, will not respond to a letter and 182 pages of documentation that I put in his hand on August 25, 1993. I wonder just who he does respond to? Could it be that money talks?

Note: In several of the following references information is followed by a (?) symbol, or a statement that the original material was stolen from me in 1986. This is because in those cases I am working from very poor copies of the original material. In 1986, I was visited by an intern reporter for the Washington Times who wanted to take my material back to the paper to make copies. What he did was steal my material and take it back to college with him. Had it not been for an Editor at the Washington Times, and the Dean at this intern's school, I would have lost a lot of my collection of energy material.

Here are the facts. Please verify this information for yourself. My comments are identified as [Comment:].