

## NO RESPONSE

July 30, 2006

Senator John McCain  
5353 North 16th Street  
Suite 105  
Phoenix, AZ 85016

Dear Senator McCain

Thank you for your letter dated July 10, 2006. This is being sent to your Phoenix office because of the anticipated difficulty sending the attached CD to your Washington office. The information in ( ) refers to sections on the attached CD.

I want to respond to portions, in bold, of your letter.

**"The 2005 energy bill, signed into law on August 8, 2005, and the proposal to drill in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge (ANWR) are two controversial approaches that are promoted as means of increasing oil supplies. However, neither the new energy policies nor ANWR drilling can effectively address our nation's energy needs, the global price of oil, or the Gulf Coast oil and gas operations hit by Hurricanes Katrina and Rita."**

According to a 2005 statement by the governor of Alaska, potentially enough oil is on the North Slope to last the USA 200 years (20-A). There is an abundance of oil in the Purdhoie Bay area that our government will not allow access (20). According to Chaplain Williams, the original plans for the Alaska pipeline was two pipes for oil and one for natural gas (20-A). Our government would not allow the other pipes to be constructed (20-A). If our government had allowed implementation of the complete Alaska pipeline, the impact of the hurricanes would not have been as severe. "New" energy technology using the abundant components of water has been known for many years by our government (12-D)(12-J). A patent for extracting the components of water was granted 106-years ago (12-C). Many others have demonstrated applications of hydrogen technology (12). One inventor has run automobiles using only the components of water for more that 30-years (12-G).

**"In his State of the Union address earlier this year, the President highlighted- the need for out nation-to move toward greater energy independence. I completely agree. Since a majority of the total U.S. oil supply is imported from foreign nations, including the Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries, we must do more to maximize the use of domestic energy resources, while investing in long-term solutions like renewable energy sources and nuclear power. Consumer demand at the pump can be reduced by the use of more fuel efficient cars and off-road engines, as well as increased use of public transportation systems."**

33-years ago, Shell Oil Company demonstrated a 376-mpg automobile (1). 23-years ago Peugeot advertised a 72-mpg automobile (6). In 2002, an English newspaper wrote of automobiles manufactured by Toyota, 104-mpg, and VW/Audi, 93-mpg (6). These automobiles were not available in our country. One method of increasing automobile fuel

economy is more fully vaporizing gasoline as Pogue did 70-years ago achieving 170-mpg (2). NASA has a 34-year old patent for a device similar to the Pogue invention (2). I know of no rational explanation why automobile manufacturers in this country have not implemented this technology.

**"I look forward to supporting initiatives to promote U.S. energy independence as they come before the Senate. Be assured that I am following this issue closely, and will keep your views in mind".**

Senator McCain, it is obvious from research by many others and myself, our government does not want to implement technology to reduce our dependence on oil from any source. I suggest you inquire of the Senate Energy & Natural Resources Committee why decades old technology that can reduce our dependence on oil was not brought to your attention. This committee was sent an "Energy Information" CD last April, they did not respond.

If you want additional information, please contact me.

Respectfully,

Byron Wine  
8227 Depot Place  
Manassas, VA  
20112-3169

A copy of this letter will be sent to your Washington office.